INTRODUCTION

Mungbean is one of the important pulse crops cultivated and consumed in Sri Lanka. Seed quality is one of the important criteria which affect the consumer acceptability of mungbean. Varieties with few hard seeds, large, green seeds with lustre are highly preferred by the consumers. Presence of hard seeds is a major problem especially when it consumed as boiled seeds. Hard seeds are impervious to water and remain hard even after cooking (Argel & Parton 1999). Lawn et al (1987) observed that seed hardness is high immediately after harvesting and declines with storage to some extent. Further, seed hardness to be controlled by more than one factor. Rodriguez et al. (1990) identified that the occurrence of hard seeds is a physiologically and genetically controlled trait.

MI-5, Ari and Harsha are three mungbean varieties released by the Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka. Seeds of Harsha are smaller and lighter than other two varieties (Table 1). Harsha has higher rate of hard seeds content than other two varieties. Due to these reasons Harsha has less preference than other two varieties. Present study was conducted to identify the relationship between seed hardness and seed size using three recommended mungbean varieties.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The test varieties were grown in the field in 2003 yala season at Field Crop Research and Development Institute, Maha Illuppallama under supplementary irrigated conditions. All the cultural practices were done according to the recommendations given by Department of Agriculture. At maturity seeds were harvested, threshed and dried up to 10% moisture content.

From each variety 500g of seeds were used for the experiment. Seed length was measured using Vanier calliper and separated into different categories according to the size (Table1). The percentage of seeds under different groups and 100 seed weight were recorded.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
According to the grouping MI-5 and Ari have large and medium seed categories and Harsha has medium and small seed categories (Table 1). MI-5 and Ari have more large seeds as compared to Harsha with more medium category seeds. Different seed sizes within a plant occur due to differences in sink source ratio and translocation pattern of photosynthates within a plant (AVRDC 1975).

Percentage hard seeds after 12h soaking showed that variety Harsha has significantly high percentage of hard seeds in medium seed category compared to medium seed categories of MI-5 and Ari. Further there was no significant difference observed between percentage hard seeds of small and medium seed categories of Harsha. It was revealed that the occurrence of hard seeds has no relationship with seed size. Pattern of breaking seed hardness in two hour interval showed that it is slower in Harsha as compared to MI-5 and Ari (Fig 1).

According to this study variety Harsha showed significantly higher number of hard seeds. This variety inherently has small size seeds as compared to MI-5 and Ari. MI-5 can be identified as inherently low hard seeded variety as compared to Harsha. Argel and Panton (1999) stated that the thickness of the cuticle and the suberization and cutinisation of the malpighia caps has also been associated with the degree of hardseededness.

This study concluded that the occurrence of hard seeds has no relationship with seed size. Therefore, seed size cannot be used as a parameter to separate hardseeds from normal seeds. Further studies are necessary to identify the physiological, genetical and environmental factors effecting hard seed formation.
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Table 1: Criteria used to categorize seeds accordingly to length and 100 seed weight, percentages of seeds and hard seeds in each seed category of test varieties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Seed size category</th>
<th>Seed Length (mm)</th>
<th>100 Seed weight (g)</th>
<th>% seeds</th>
<th>Hard seed %**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MI-5</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 to &lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5.8 to ≥4.8</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>1.9 c*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>&gt;5.8</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>2.1 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ari</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>4 to &lt;5</td>
<td>&lt;5.8 to ≥4.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>3.7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>&gt;5.8</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>3.1 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harsha</td>
<td>Small</td>
<td>3 to &lt;4</td>
<td>&lt;4.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>9.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>&lt;5.8 to ≥4.8</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>7.9 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* means with same letters are not significantly different at 5% probability level based on DMRT test.
** Seed hardness measured after 12h soaking

Figure 1. Comparison of breaking seed hardness of tested varieties in two hour soaking intervals
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